UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/534,269	11/18/2005	Andreas Erber	SCH-00108	1123
30853 7590 12/18/2007 WARN, HOFFMANN, MILLER & LALONE, .P.C PO BOX 70098			EXAMINER	
			WARD, JOHN A	
ROCHESTER	HILLS, MI 48307		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2885	
		•		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/18/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/534,269	ERBER, ANDREAS			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		John A. Ward	2885			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Se	eptember 2007.				
,	•—	action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims					
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-6,10,16 and 17 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 8,9 and 11-15 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
•	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
2) Notice 3) Information	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	ate			

10/534,269 Art Unit: 2885

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-6 and 10, 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as being anticipated by Mochizuki ET al (US 4,737,896).

Regarding claims 1-6, Mochizuki et al discloses an illumination device having a light guide 21, a light source 11, the light guide having reflecting structure 22, each reflecting structure being of equal size (figure 2), the reflecting surface are parallel to each other (figure 2), the reflecting surfaces are 45 degrees to the direction of the ray (claim 12) and each neighboring reflecting surface 22 and 23 are separated from each other by projections (column 2, lines 22-40).

Regarding claim 10, 16 and 17, Mochizuki et al further discloses the light source is at the face of the light guide (figure 2), the light source can be a light emitting diode (column 3, lines 28-30) and figure 2 shows how the light exiting side of the guide is provided with scattering optic.

# Allowable Subject Matter

Application/Control Number:

10/534,269

Art Unit: 2885

Claims 7-9 and 11-15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: No where in the prior art is found "the light guide comprises at least two light guide parts which, viewed in the direction of the rays, lie side-by-side and, at their mutually opposed faces, each comprising at least one light source whose light rays are reflectable at opposedly inclined reflecting surfaces to the light exit side".

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed September 25, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The argument regarding claims 1-6, 10, 16 and 17 the prior art of Mochizuki ('896), discloses a reflecting surfaces in figure 2, that the first prism array 22 and second prism array does show as continuous luminous field 244, after reviewing column 2, lines 25-28, the examiner were not persuaded that the instant application read over the prior art of Mochizuki however, the claims 7-9 and 11-15 are deemed allowable subject matter as cited above.

Furthermore, the argument that claim 1 call for "at least one connection side", this does not positively cite that the light source must be connected to the light guides but have the capabilities to be connected. The other argument the

prior art "clearly has nothing to do with a light guide for lighting of a vehicle in particular a motor vehicle" is a moot point since this limitation is cited in the preamble of claim 1 and has not been given any patentable weight.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John A. Ward whose telephone number is 571-272-2386. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jong (James) Lee can be reached on 571-272-7044. The

Application/Control Number:

10/534,269

Art Unit: 2885

fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JAW December 11, 2007

> IOHN ANTHONY WARD PRIMARY EXAMINER